HON'BLE SRI G.S. SINGHVI, THE CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G. V.SEETHAPATHY

Writ Appeal (SR) No. 3174 of 2006

-

-

Between:

The District Collector, Medak at Sangareddy
and another

……Appellants

And

B.Narsimlu and ten others

……Respondents

:: JUDGMENT ::

Counsel for the Appellants : Government Pleader for Land Acquisition

Counsel for Respondent Nos.1 to 8 : Sri Raj Kumar Rudra for Sri N.Subba Reddy

Counsel for respondent No.9 : Sri N.Manohar Reddy for Sri E.Madan Mohan Reddy

Counsel for respondent No.10 : Sri N.Naveen Kumar for Sri S.Niranjan Reddy

Counsel for respondent No.11 : Sri V.Srinivas

August 31, 2006

Per G.S. SINGHVI, CJ

This appeal is directed against order dated 10-11-2005 passed by the learned Single Judge in Writ Petition No.5129 of 2004.

At the commencement of hearing, Sri V.Srinivas, learned counsel appearing for company at whose instance the land was sought to be acquired invited our attention to order dated 28-01-2006 passed in Writ Appeal No.282 of 2006, **A.P. Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited Vs.B.Narsimlu and others** vide which the appeal field against the order of the learned Single Judge was dismissed.

Learned Government Pleader for Land Acquisition tried to convince us that notwithstanding dismissal of the writ appeal filed by A.P. Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited, the Court may re-examine the issue raised by the appellants, because the view expressed by the learned Single Judge on the interpretation of Part VII of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 is per se erroneous.

We have gone through order dated 28-01-2006 passed in Writ Appeal No.282 of 2006 and are convinced that there is no valid ground or justification to take a different view.

Hence, the appeal is dismissed with the direction that detailed reasons recorded in order dated 28-01-2006 passed in Writ Appeal No.282 of 2006 shall be read as part of this order.

G.S.SINGHVI, CJ

G.V. SEETHAPATHY,J

31-08-2006
ks